

Effect of *in-situ* moisture conservation, forchlorfenuron and boron on growth, fruit cracking and yield of pomegranate cv. Kandhari under rainfed conditions of Himachal Pradesh

Prativa Sahu*, N. Sharma and D.P. Sharma

Department of Fruit Science, Dr Y.S. Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, Solan 173 230, Himachal Pradesh

ABSTRACT

An investigation on *in-situ* soil moisture conservation, forchlorfenuron and boron was carried out on seven-year-old plants of pomegranate cultivar Kandhari trained as four stems during the year 2010-11. Experimental plants were subjected to 11 treatments, viz., mulching, CBOC (crescent bund with open catchment pits), CPPU (forchlorfenuron) at 5 or 10 ppm, H_3BO_3 at 0.2 or 0.4%, mulching + CPPU at 5 ppm or H_3BO_3 at 0.2%, CBOC + CPPU at 5 ppm or H_3BO_3 at 0.2% and control. These treatments were applied separately in mid-March (*in-situ* soil moisture conservation) and mid-May (forchlorfenuron and boron). Between the two applied soil working techniques, crescent bund with open catchments pits conserved higher soil moisture content at all the observation dates. Growth parameters like plant height, plant spread, trunk girth and annual shoot growth were observed maximum under the treatment CBOC + H_3BO_3 at 0.2% due to higher moisture availability. However, leaf area and leaf chlorophyll content were maximum under the treatment CBOC + CPPU at 5 ppm. Physiological characteristics such as photosynthetic rate and transpiration rate were higher in the plant under crescent bund with open catchment pits. The extent of fruit cracking was reduced to the lowest level and highest fruit yield was recorded when the plants grown under CBOC and given foliar application of CPPU at 5 ppm. These results suggest that, soil working technique- crescent bund with open catchment pit can be employed to conserve higher soil moisture in rainfed conditions for improving growth and production of pomegranate.

Key words: Pomegranate, crescent bund with open catchment pits, moisture conservation, growth, fruit cracking.

INTRODUCTION

Pomegranate (*Punica granatum* L.) belongs to family Punicaceae and is native to Persia (Iran), Afghanistan and Baluchistan. Its fruit has wide consumer preference for its attractive, juicy, sweet-acidic and refreshing arils. In Himachal Pradesh, pomegranate cultivation has slowly picked up by farmers in the districts of Kullu, Una, Hamirpur, parts of Chamba, Kangra, Bilaspur, Sirmour and Solan for diversification in horticulture. The plants suffer acute moisture stress leading to fruit cracking at their critical stages of growth and development due to erratic and uneven rains under rainfed conditions. It therefore becomes imperative to conserve every drop of rain water in the field using *in-situ* moisture conservation techniques to enhance soil moisture storage for inducing good vegetative growth and productivity, lowest fruit cracking by improving their morphological and physiological status.

Therefore, the present study was undertaken to ascertain the effect of mulching, soil working technique 'crescent bund with open catchment pits' and foliar application of CPPU and boron on pomegranate cv. Kandhari with an objective to evaluate the morphological

and physiological status, fruit cracking and yield potentials of pomegranate through conserving soil moisture under rainfed conditions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigation was carried out in the experimental orchard of the Department of Fruit Science, Dr Y.S. Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, Solan, during the years 2010-2011. For this study, 33 plants of 7-year-old pomegranate trained as four stem system and spaced 4 m x 4 m apart were selected. Soil at the experimental site was silty loam; having 6.81 pH, 1.76% organic carbon content, 8.00% permanent wilting point, 25.50% field capacity and 1.10 g cm⁻³ bulk density. Experimental plants were subjected to 11 treatments, viz. mulching (10 cm thick hey), CBOC (crescent bund with open catchment pits), CPPU (forchlorfenuron) at 5 or 10 ppm, H_3BO_3 at 0.2 or 0.4%, Mulching + CPPU at 5 ppm or H_3BO_3 at 0.2%, CBOC + CPPU at 5 ppm or H_3BO_3 at 0.2% and control (no treatment). These treatments were applied separately in mid-March (*in-situ* soil moisture conservation) and mid-May (forchlorfenuron and boron). All the treatments were replicated three times in a randomized block design.

*Corresponding author's E-mail: agropativa@yahoo.com

Soil moisture (%) were taken with the help of AquaPro® soil moisture profiler at 15 day intervals during the growing season from 1st April to 15th July at 15, 30, 45 cm depths. The access tubes fitted 1 m away from the trunk. The percentage increase in growth parameters, viz., plant height, plant spread, trunk girth, and annual shoot growth were observed in the field before the commencement of the growth and after the cessation of growth in autumn. Simultaneously, 20 fully expanded leaves were collected at random from the periphery of each plant in the month of August and their area (cm²) was measured with the help of LI-Cor 3100 leaf area meter. The observations on photosynthetic and transpiration rates of ten mature leaves randomly selected from all over the periphery of each experimental plant were taken with the help of LCA4 portable photosynthesis system (ADC, UK) in mid-June between 10:00 and 11:00 AM (Hunter and Proctor, 2). The results were averaged and expressed in $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ and $\text{mmol m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ respectively. Extraction of chlorophyll was carried out in 80 per cent acetone as per procedure described by Sestak *et al.* (9) during the month of July. The number of the fruits cracked on each experimental plant were counted periodically during the entire fruiting season and expressed in per cent. Fruit yield (kg/tree) was determined on the basis of total weight of fruits harvested from the each experimental plant. The data were subjected to statistical analysis as per the procedure outlined by Panse and Sukhatme (7).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Soil moisture contents under the plant basins fluctuated greatly with dry spells and erratic rainfall cycles (1, 0, 0, 2.7, 48.2, 0, 116.6, 52.2, 280.7, 203.9 mm rainfall in 15th March, 1st April, 15th April, 1st May, 15th May, 1st June, 15th June, 1st July, 15th July, respectively during the course of investigation. However during all the observation dates, maximum soil moisture contents of 18.01% at 0-15 cm soil depth; 18.88% at 15-30 cm soil depth and 19.03% at 30-45 cm soil depth were recorded under the treatment CBOC + CPPU at 5 ppm (Tables 1-3). Soils under mulching also maintained more moisture level. CBOC might have effectively prevented any surface water runoff and facilitated to collect runoff water in the pits and hence resulted in higher soil moisture conservation in rhizosphere of plant roots as compared to mulching. At 15 cm soil depth the lowest soil moisture content (8.96%) was recorded on 1st April and increased steadily from 1st of May (9.06%) and reached at the significantly highest level on 15th July (16.15%). The interaction effect of treatments with soil moisture levels during different period was also found to be significant. At 45 cm soil depth the maximum moisture content (19.03%) was recorded under the treatment T₉ (CBOC + CPPU at 5 ppm) on 15th of July, which was significantly at par with the treatment of T₁₀ (CBOC + H₃BO₃ at 0.2%) on 15th of July (18.83%) and T₂ (Crescent bund with open catchment pits) on 15th of July (18.97%). The

Table 1. Effect of *in-situ* moisture conservation, forchlorfenuron and boron on soil moisture storage at 15 cm soil depth in pomegranate cv. Kandhari.

Treatment	1 st April	15 th April	1 st May	15 th May	1 st June	16 th June	1 st July	15 th July	Mean
T ₁ : Mulching with hay (10 cm)	8.05	8.22	8.79	11.34	11.03	14.95	14.93	16.22	11.69
T ₂ : Crescent bund with open catchment pits (CBOC)	9.53	9.81	10.57	12.29	12.14	16.29	16.35	17.91	13.15
T ₃ : CPPU at 5 ppm	7.31	6.47	6.40	8.78	8.62	11.20	11.84	12.98	9.20
T ₄ : CPPU at 10 ppm	7.12	6.54	6.51	8.91	8.75	11.13	11.79	12.27	9.13
T ₅ : H ₃ BO ₃ at 0.2%	7.26	6.44	6.33	8.63	8.47	11.29	11.98	12.93	9.17
T ₆ : H ₃ BO ₃ at 0.4%	7.09	6.91	6.44	8.79	8.60	11.10	11.71	12.21	9.11
T ₇ : Mulching + CPPU at 5 ppm	8.11	8.63	8.72	11.17	11.00	14.06	14.59	15.88	11.52
T ₈ : Mulching + H ₃ BO ₃ at 0.2%	8.12	8.66	8.80	11.25	11.08	14.09	14.62	15.90	11.57
T ₉ : CBOC + CPPU at 5 ppm	9.47	9.97	10.13	12.13	12.05	16.00	16.29	18.01	13.21
T ₁₀ : CBOC + H ₃ BO ₃ at 0.2%	9.51	9.88	10.09	12.25	12.11	15.98	16.17	17.92	13.17
T ₁₁ : Control	7.20	6.30	6.35	8.68	8.60	11.10	11.50	12.00	8.97
Mean	8.07	7.98	8.10	10.38	10.22	13.38	13.80	14.93	

CD_{0.05}

Treatment (T) : 0.08; Day (D) : 0.07; T × D : 0.24

Table 2. Effect of *in-situ* soil moisture conservation, forchlorfenuron and boron on soil moisture storage at 30 cm soil depth in pomegranate cv. Kandhari.

Treatment	1 st April	15 th April	1 st May	15 th May	1 st June	16 th June	1 st July	15 th July	Mean
T ₁ : Mulching with hay (10 cm)	8.90	9.03	9.17	12.53	12.00	16.03	14.85	15.89	12.3
T ₂ : Crescent bund with open catchment pits (CBOC)	9.96	10.11	11.02	14.48	13.84	17.92	16.03	16.93	13.79
T ₃ : CPPU at 5 ppm	7.75	7.09	6.91	9.71	9.56	12.41	11.72	12.56	9.71
T ₄ : CPPU at 10 ppm	7.63	6.99	6.77	9.68	9.39	12.19	11.68	12.23	9.59
T ₅ : H ₃ BO ₃ at 0.2%	7.68	6.94	6.54	9.53	9.38	12.33	11.71	12.44	9.61
T ₆ : H ₃ BO ₃ at 0.4%	7.59	6.92	6.68	9.78	9.61	12.27	11.52	12.21	9.54
T ₇ : Mulching + CPPU at 5 ppm	8.92	9.04	9.07	12.12	11.37	14.22	13.86	15.09	11.71
T ₈ : Mulching + H ₃ BO ₃ at 0.2%	8.95	9.10	9.30	12.65	11.21	14.00	13.92	15.17	11.79
T ₉ : CBOC + CPPU at 5 ppm	9.94	10.76	10.89	14.06	14.00	16.70	16.09	18.88	13.92
T ₁₀ : CBOC + H ₃ BO ₃ at 0.2%	9.98	10.81	10.92	13.99	13.83	16.46	16.23	18.73	13.87
T ₁₁ : Control	8.00	6.88	6.78	9.79	9.61	12.50	12.12	12.25	9.74
Mean	8.66	8.52	8.55	11.67	11.25	14.28	13.61	15.76	

CD_{0.05}

Treatment (T) : 0.69; Day (D) : 0.05; T × D : 1.96

Table 3. Effect of *in-situ* soil moisture conservation, forchlorfenuron and boron on soil moisture storage at 45 cm soil depth in pomegranate cv. Kandhari.

Treatment	1 st April	15 th April	1 st May	15 th May	1 st June	16 th June	1 st July	15 th July	Mean
T ₁ : Mulching with hay (10 cm)	9.09	9.48	9.61	12.91	12.15	16.93	15.23	17.00	12.80
T ₂ : Crescent bund with open catchment pits (CBOC)	10.06	10.96	11.24	14.76	14.35	18.64	17.94	18.97	14.62
T ₃ : CPPU at 5 ppm	8.00	7.95	7.67	10.88	10.17	14.21	13.91	14.97	10.97
T ₄ : CPPU at 10 ppm	8.25	7.18	7.06	10.26	10.01	13.97	13.44	14.25	10.55
T ₅ : H ₃ BO ₃ at 0.2%	8.06	7.70	7.52	10.63	10.36	14.02	13.86	14.73	10.86
T ₆ : H ₃ BO ₃ at 0.4%	8.49	7.66	7.47	10.58	10.21	14.00	13.21	14.69	10.79
T ₇ : Mulching + CPPU at 5 ppm	9.12	9.27	9.33	12.39	11.94	15.93	15.23	16.04	12.41
T ₈ : Mulching + H ₃ BO ₃ at 0.2%	9.15	9.84	9.95	13.02	12.83	16.00	15.29	16.12	12.78
T ₉ : CBOC + CPPU at 5 ppm	10.08	11.31	11.44	14.65	14.54	18.77	18.00	19.03	14.73
T ₁₀ : CBOC + H ₃ BO ₃ at 0.2%	10.06	11.27	11.40	14.59	14.28	18.47	17.29	18.83	14.52
T ₁₁ : Control	8.23	7.10	7.01	9.98	9.83	12.91	12.33	13.01	10.05
Mean	8.96	9.07	9.06	12.24	11.88	15.80	15.07	16.15	

CD_{0.05}

Treatment (T) : 1.23; Days (D) : 1.11; T × D : 2.57

lowest soil moisture contents in control was recorded on 1st of May. Lower soil profile between the depths of 15-30 and 30-45 cm happened to store more moisture during wet months of the year as compared to dry months and upper soil profile (0-15 cm). Such results have earlier been reported by Farmahan and Sharma (1) in pomegranate cv. Ganesh, and Singh and Sharma (11) in olive.

The maximum increase in plant height (27.06%) was observed under the treatment T₁₀ (CBOC + H₃BO₃ at 0.2%), closely followed by T₈ (Mulching + H₃BO₃ at 0.2%). The minimum annual increment plant height (13.15%) was recorded under the control (Table 4). Plant spread in both North-South (33.80%) and East-West (32.75%) direction was significantly higher in plants under the treatment CBOC + H₃BO₃

at 0.2% in comparison to all other treatments (Table 4). However, the highest increase in trunk girth (27.92%) was noticed in plants given the treatment of foliar treatment of boron at 0.2% in combination with soil working technique 'crescent bund with open catchment pits (T₁₀). Significantly highest shoot growth (43.15 cm) was recorded in plants under CBOC when sprayed with boric acid at 0.2%. The minimum shoot growth (17.75 cm) was however, observed in control plants (Table 4). These results can be attributed to the availability of more moisture under CBOC and mulching at all soil depths and role of boron in N metabolism, hormone movement action and cell division (Russel, 8).

The leaf area (11.05 cm²), accumulation of chlorophylls (2.93 mg/100 g fresh weight) was significantly higher in the leaves of plants under the treatment CBOC + CPPU at 5 ppm (Tables 4 & 5). The present findings are in agreement with those of Iersel and Nemali (3), and Jyothi and Rajadhav (4) who observed that higher soil moisture level favoured more leaf growth in Rangapur lime. The CPPU is an urea based cytokinin which induced the activity of invertase enzyme in conversion of lipids to glucose, fructose (Notodimedjo, 6) and as solute concentration increases and OP becomes negative, thus resulting in more uptake of water, leading to better leaf expansion. Cytokinin helps in the retention of chlorophyll and stimulates the nutrient mobilization and thus might have resulted in the accumulation of more chlorophyll in treated leaves. Photosynthetic rate (Table 5) was significantly

higher (14.99 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$) in tree under the treatment of CBOC in comparison to other treatments and minimum photosynthetic rate was observed in the tree under the traditional basin system (8.73 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$). Likewise, transpiration rate (Table 5) was significantly higher in the trees under CBOC system (0.413 $\text{mmol m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$).

Fruit cracking was reduced to the lowest level (2.8%) when the plants grown under CBOC and given foliar application of CPPU at 5 ppm (T₉) or H₃BO₃ at 0.2% (T₁₀). The highest fruit yield (26.8 kg/plant) was recorded under T₉ (CBOC + CPPU at 5 ppm), closely followed by T₇ (Mulching + CPPU at 5 ppm) (Table 5). The fruit yield was however, significantly lowest in control (14.27 kg/ plant). As CBOC maintained higher soil moisture level soil during the fruit development (Tables 1-3), which consequently might have decreased fruit cracking. The growth regulator CPPU might have stimulated overall growth of pomegranate fruits and thereby reduced fruit cracking in this study. The findings are in agreement with those of Singh *et al.* (10), and Navarro *et al.* (5).

From the ongoing study it can be concluded that soil working technique- crescent bund with open catchment pit can be employed to conserve more soil moisture, maintaining photosynthesis, improving growth and production of pomegranate in rain fed conditions. *In-situ* moisture conservation technique CBOC in combination with CPPU 5 ppm in mid May decreased fruit cracking and increased yield.

Table 4. Effect of *in-situ* soil moisture conservation, forchlorfenuron and boron on plant height, plant spread and trunk girth, shoot growth and leaf area of pomegranate cv. Kandhari.

Treatment	Plant height (% increase)	Plant spread (% increase)	Trunk girth (% increase)	Annual shoot extension growth (cm)	Average leaf area (cm ²)
T ₁ : Mulching with hay (10 cm)	20.14	28.38	20.63	9.2	20.63
T ₂ : Crescent bund with open catchment pits (CBOC)	23.73	31.89	22.68	10.6	22.68
T ₃ : CPPU at 5 ppm	19.74	25.6	23.91	9.4	17.56
T ₄ : CPPU at 10 ppm	18.16	25.02	23.07	8.7	16.34
T ₅ : H ₃ BO ₃ at 0.2%	25.86	27.8	26.3	9.1	24.31
T ₆ : H ₃ BO ₃ at 0.4%	23.12	26.00	25.93	8.4	21.36
T ₇ : Mulching + CPPU at 5 ppm	20.14	28.32	27.38	9.7	20.86
T ₈ : Mulching + H ₃ BO ₃ at 0.2%	26.18	33.46	32.03	9.19	25.25
T ₉ : CBOC + CPPU at 5 ppm	23.78	32	30.96	11.05	22.74
T ₁₀ : CBOC + H ₃ BO ₃ at 0.2%	27.06	33.80	32.75	10.8	27.92
T ₁₁ : Control	13.15	11.33	11.06	7.07	10.08
CD _{0.05}	0.35	0.70	0.31	1.817	0.78

Table 5. Effect of *in-situ* soil moisture conservation, forchlorfenuron and boron on transpiration rate, photosynthetic rate, leaf chlorophyll content, fruit cracking and fruit yield of pomegranate cv. Kandhari.

Treatment	Transpiration rate (mmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹)	Photosynthetic rate (μmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹)	Leaf chlorophyll content (mg/g of fr. wt.)	Fruit cracking (%)	Fruit yield (kg/tree)
T ₁ : Mulching with hay (10 cm)	0.280	12.19	1.92	5.2	21.2
T ₂ : Crescent bund with open catchment pits (CBOC)	0.327	13.88	1.99	3.9	22.9
T ₃ : CPPU at 5 ppm	0.183	11.56	2.61	4.1	22.1
T ₄ : CPPU at 10 ppm	0.141	10.09	2.57	4.53	20.6
T ₅ : H ₃ BO ₃ at 0.2%	0.235	11.94	2.3	5.1	21.9
T ₆ : H ₃ BO ₃ at 0.4%	0.223	10.68	2.21	5.6	20.2
T ₇ : Mulching + CPPU at 5 ppm	0.293	13.13	2.78	3.5	24.9
T ₈ : Mulching + H ₃ BO ₃ at 0.2%	0.396	14.39	2.41	3.8	23.3
T ₉ : CBOC + CPPU at 5 ppm	0.339	14.23	2.93	2.8	26.8
T ₁₀ : CBOC + H ₃ BO ₃ at 0.2%	0.413	14.99	2.45	3.2	24.02
T ₁₁ : Control	0.095	8.73	1.41	11.67	14.27
CD _{0.05}	0.150	0.28	0.05	0.28	0.46

REFERENCES

- Farmahan, H.L. and Sharma, N. 2005. Effect of soil working techniques on moisture conservation and productivity in pomegranate cv. Ganesh under rainfed conditions. *Acta Hort.* **696**: 269-76
- Hunter, D.M. and Proctor, J.T.A. 1994. Paclobutrazol reduces photosynthetic carbon dioxide uptake rate in grape vines. *J. American Soc. Hort. Sci.* **119**: 486-91.
- Iersel, M.W. and Nemali, K.S. 2004. Effect of soil moisture on growth parameters of longan. *HortSci.* **39**: 1298-1301.
- Jyothi Hadli and Rajjadhav, S.B. 2004. Effect of soil moisture stress on growth and physiological attributes of different strains of Rangpur lime. *J. Maharashtra Agric. Univ.* **29**: 263-66.
- Navarro, O.M., Retamales, F.J. and Defilippi, B.B. 2001. Effect of cluster thinning and the application of synthetic cytokinin CPPU on the quality of table grapes treated with two sources of gibberellins. *Agric. Technica*, **61**: 15-25.
- Notodimedjo, S. 2000. Effect of GA₃, NAA and CPPU on fruit retention, yield and quality of mango (cv. Arumanis) in East Java. *Acta Hort.* **509**: 587-600.
- Panase, V.G. and Sukhatme, P.V. 1976. *Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers*, ICAR, New Delhi.
- Russel, D.A. 1957. Boron and soil fertility. In: *The Yearbook of Agriculture*- USDA, Washington, D.C.
- Sestak, Z., Catschy, J. and Ganier, G.G. 1971. Plant photosynthetic production. *Manual of Methods*. N.V. Pub., the Hague.
- Singh, D.B., Sharma, B.D. and Bhargava, R. 2003. Effect of boron and GA₃ to control fruit cracking in pomegranate (*Punica granatum*). *Curr. Agric.* **27**: 125-27.
- Singh, K. and Sharma. N. 2010. Effect of *in situ* moisture conservation on morphology, physiology and production of olives under rainfed conditions. *Indian J. Hort.* **64**: 364-66.

Received : July, 2012; Revised : July, 2013;
Accepted : August, 2013